

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/761,780	01/18/2001	Ichiro Hashimoto	1614.1112	5963
21171 759	90 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			JASMIN, LYNDA C	
JIM LIVINGSTON SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			3627	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/761,780	HASHIMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lynda Jasmin	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	!ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	April 2006		
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		ters, prosecution as to the ma	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,9,14,15,18 and 19</u> is/are per	nding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-7, 9, 14, 15, 18 and 19</u> is/are	rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. 0,00.00.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
··· _	or		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		by the Everniner	
		-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	4 404(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			• •
	.xammer. Note the attache	d Office Action of John PTO-	102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received.  Its have been received in A  Drity documents have been  Au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)	🗖		
1)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Application/Control Number: 09/761,780 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 14, 15, 18 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the recitation "of near stores" at line 5 is indefinite and unclear. The Examiner suggests that Applicant replace the term "near" by --nearest--. Same as at line 8. At line 11, the recitation "the respective plurality of stores" lacks proper antecedent basis. Is Applicant referring the respective near stores of line 8? At line 12, the recitation "for a match of the first n digits" lack proper antecedent basis. Clarification is required.

In claim 9, the recitation "of near stores" at line 6 is indefinite and unclear. The Examiner suggests that Applicant replace the term "near" by --nearest--. Same as at line 10. At line 13, the recitation "the respective plurality of stores" lacks proper antecedent basis. Is Applicant referring the respective near stores of line 10? At line 14, the recitation "for a match of the first n digits" lack proper antecedent basis. Clarification is required.

In claim 18, at line 20, the recitation "for a match of the first n digits" lack proper antecedent basis.

In claim 19, the recitation "of near stores" at line 4 is indefinite and unclear. The Examiner suggests that Applicant replace the term "near" by --nearest--. Same as at line 7.

At lines 10, the recitation "the respective plurality of stores" lacks proper antecedent basis. Is Applicant referring the respective near stores of line 7?

At line 14, the recitation "a partial match of the first n digits" lack proper antecedent basis. Clarification is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 14, 15, 18 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

None of the prior art of record appears to read on the invention as understood by the examiner and the subject matter of the claims appears to be allowable if the rejections under 35 U.S.C 112 can be overcome.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (571) 272-6782. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (9:30-6:00) with Thursday Telework.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 5/11-2/72-1000.

Primary Examiner